

# PhD Program

## Gene expression during growth, development and differentiation

### Periodic Evaluation Report Form

PhD student	
Title of thesis	
Start of PhD thesis	
Supervisor	
Committee member #1	
Committee member #2	
Number of evaluation	1 <sup>st</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <sup>rd</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <sup>th</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <sup>th</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <sup>th</sup> <input type="checkbox"/>
Date of evaluation	

The progress report was clear and informative.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The PhD student answered the questions adequately.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The PhD student was able to critically assess the methods used.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The PhD student was open for a critical discussion of the project.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The PhD student was aware of new issues in the field.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The progress is adequate to the time spent/left.	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree
The experimental strategy is adequate to reach the scientific goal(s).	agree <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> disagree

Suggestions for improvements:

Comments:

The committee supports the continuation of the project

Yes	No

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Supervisor*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Committee member #1*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Committee member #2*